EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Plaintiff,

05 CV 8106

COMPLAINT

- against -

₩ARD HENRY.

Jury Trial Demanded

WYETH PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., WALTER WARDROP, ANDREW SCHASCHL, AND MICHAEL MCDERMOTT,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, HOWARD HENRY, by and through his attorneys, Leeds Morelli & Browns

P.C., alleges, upon knowledge as to himself and his own actions and upon information and belief
as to all other matters, as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is a civil action based upon the defendants' violation of the Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq.; 42 U.S.C. § 1981; New York State Executive Law, Human Rights Law, § 290, et seq.; and any other cause of action that can be inferred from the facts set forth herein.
- 2. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343(3), 1343(4), 1367, and 2201, and § 290, et seq. of the Executive Law of the State of New York. The supplemental jurisdiction of the Court (28 U.S.C. § 1367) is invoked over state and local law causes of action.
 - 3. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.
- 4. All conditions precedent to maintaining this action were fulfilled. Plaintiff filed a

 Charge of Discrimination with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC")

and was issued a Notice of Right to Sue. A copy of the Right to Sue letter is annexed hereto and marked as Exhibit A. This action is filed within ninety (90) days of receipt.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff, Howard Henry, at all times hereinafter mentioned, was and still is a resident of Rockland County, New York.
- Defendant, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Wyeth") is a foreign corporation doing 6. business in the State of New York, located at, among other places, Pearl River, New York.
- 7. Defendant, Walter Wardrop, the Associate Director of LCH Manufacturing at Wyeth. aided, abetted, compelled, and/or incited the unlawful treatment set forth below. Upon information and belief, Mr. Wardrop was and is responsible for the maintenance and operation of Wyeth's Pearl River location, including, but not limited to, the hiring, firing, promotion, and discipline of employees and all other employment related issues.
- 8. Defendant, Andrew Schaschl, the Director of LCH Manufacturing at Wyeth, aided, abetted, compelled, and/or incited the unlawful treatment set forth below. Upon information and belief, Mr. Schaschl was and is responsible for the maintenance and operation of Wyeth's Pearl River location, including, but not limited to, the hiring, firing, promotion, and discipline of employees and all other employment related issues.
- Defendant, Michael McDermott, the Managing Director of Wyeth's Pearl River 9. facility, aided, abetted, compelled, and/or incited the unlawful treatment set forth below. Upon information and belief, Mr. McDermott was and is responsible for the maintenance and operation of Wyeth's Pearl River location, including, but not limited to, the hiring, firing, promotion, and discipline of employees and all other employment related issues.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 10. A culture of discrimination pervades Wyeth's Pearl River facility. Minorities remain in lower-level positions and are routinely denied opportunities for advancement. White management has developed a "good-old-boys club," through which they socialize together and save accolades and promotions for each another all at the expense of their minority counterparts.
- 11. Despite receiving favorable reviews and repeated praise, Howard Henry is unable to advance at Wyeth. Currently, Mr. Henry is relegated to the role of production engineer, a position from which he has tried to advance for over five years. Mr. Henry is routinely denied promotion in favor of White employees who posses lesser qualifications and experience. Upon Mr. Henry's complaints of discrimination, he experienced swift retaliation.

BACKGROUND

- 12. Howard Henry ("Howard") commenced his employment with American Cyanamid in 1992, on a temporary basis.
- 13. In 1993, American Cyanamid hired Howard on a permanent basis as a Chemist in its Chemical Process Research and Development Department based on his B.S. in physical science and his excellent work performance as a temporary employee.
- 14. In or around 1994, Wyeth-Ayerst, Inc., a subsidiary of American Home Products, Inc., bought American Cyanamid.
- 15. In 1997, Howard obtained a B.E. in chemical engineering and received a merit promotion, a corresponding five percent raise, and a Special Recognition Award for his work in chemical processing.

- 24. In April 2003, the Production Coordinator position became available on a temporary basis. Howard expressed interest in the opening; however, it was awarded to Richard Morgan ("Morgan"), a White employee without a degree. When Howard asked Wardrop about the denial of promotion, Wardrop stated it was a good opportunity for Morgan "to show what he can do." When Howard responded that he would like "to show what [he could] do," Wardrop dismissed the matter and stated that "they," referring to Schaschl and others, made the decision.
- 25. In the spring of 2003, Howard complained to Walter Wardrop regarding his denial of advancement opportunities.
- 26. In 2003, Howard received a mid-year performance evaluation. Wardrop asserted that there were times when Howard could not be reached on his pager. Howard responded that numerous Wyeth employees had experienced problems with their pagers. Wardrop next asserted that, on one occasion, Howard took too long to get a shot at the nurse's office. Additionally, on several occasions when Wardrop knew Howard was out sick, Wardrop would ask other employees if they knew where Howard was, in an effort to label Howard as a bad employee.
- 27. In December 2003, Howard received his fourth annual evaluation from Wardrop. Wardrop gave Howard an overall rating of three (3) and complained that Howard completed assignments late. Although Wardrop had been supervising Howard for four years, Wardrop stated that he had trouble evaluating Howard because he had not handed in a self-evaluation. Howard had been unable to complete the self-evaluation by its due date because he had to take on the job duties of two other employees and then was out of work on his honeymoon. When Howard returned, which was two months prior to the December 2003 performance evaluation and only six days after the due date, Howard asked if he should hand in the self-evaluation. Wardrop responded, "Don't worry about it!"

- 28. During the December 2003 evaluation, Wardrop explained that there was a difference at Wyeth between others "perception and the reality" of Howard. Wardrop stated that Howard was perceived as someone who completed projects late and this perception affected Howard's position under an "organizational cascade" occurring at Wyeth. Wardrop informed Howard that he would be demoted to a Packaging Supervisor, a position that, upon information and belief, requires neither a degree nor Howard's level of skill or experience. Upon Howard's protestations, Wardrop expressed conflicting reasons for the demotion to Packaging Supervisor and Howard's performance evaluation.
- 29. In January 2004, Howard applied for a position as a Process Engineer in Wyeth's Vaccines Division, but was denied.
- 30. In January 2004, Howard applied for a position as a Staff Engineer in the Bioprocess Development Department. There were two openings for this position. Howard received no response from Wyeth, other than a confirmation of his application. Later, Howard learned that Andrew Safernack and David Anderson, both White employees, were given the position.
- 31. On January 5, 2004, Derek Burt ("Burt"), a White male employee, who was to be Howard's new supervisor, informed Howard that his name was available in the organizational cascade. Burt stated that, although he was pleased to have Howard in his division, he had not chosen Howard.
- 32. On January 5, 2004, Wardrop informed Howard that he had never made any comments about Howard completing projects late and stated that the 2003 performance evaluation and Howard's new assignment had nothing to do with each other. Wardrop also refused to change Howard's evaluation.

¹ The Packaging Supervisor position requires an employee do little more than stand on an assembly line all day.

- 33. On January 7, 2004, Howard met with Wardrop who stated that he wanted "to be honest" with Howard. Wardrop explained that Burt had worked very hard to have Howard made a Packaging Supervisor and that Wardrop had gone out of his way to ensure that Burt would not place Howard on a midnight shift. Wardrop stated that he had given the matter a lot of thought and wanted to resubmit Howard's performance evaluation. Although the revised evaluation did not contain allegations of lateness on projects, unlike Howard's prior evaluations, it was very short and implied that Howard was merely an average employee.
- 34. On January 9, 2004, Howard met with Schaschl regarding his performance evaluation and demotion. Schaschl stated that he had a problem with Howard's multi-tasking skills and that it was necessary for Howard to obtain supervisory experience as a Packaging Supervisor. Howard has never had a problem with multi-tasking and, upon information and belief, numerous White employees have obtained management positions without prior supervisory experience. Howard also oversees operators in his current position.
- 35. When Howard asked Schaschl about the temporary Production Coordinator position that was given to Morgan in the spring of 2003, Schaschl responded that Morgan had filled the position in the past. However, Howard was not given the same opportunity to temporarily fill the position in the past.
- 36. On January 12, 2004 Joanne Rose ("Rose"), White female Human Resources Representative, informed Howard that his negative performance evaluation and his alleged problems with multi-tasking were factors in determining his new position. Before Howard met with Rose, Wardrop stopped him and apologized that "things got so bad."
- 37. On January 13, 2004, Howard met with Michael McDermott ("McDermott"), White male Managing Director. McDermott stated that Howard had been given a new position because

- 43. Additionally, during a February 2004 meeting, Joe Vitanza, the current Managing Director of LCH, stated that a malfunctioning alarm system was a tar baby that he just couldn't get off his back.
- 44. In the winter of 2004, Wardrop made a discriminatory comment about a Hispanic employee named Manny Rivera. Wardrop made gestures imitating the hip-hop youth culture and stated in a derogatory manner to another employee, "[i]s Manny the kind of guy to wear his pants hanging down like this?"
- 45. On March 29, 2004, after taking no action for one month, Bigelow contacted Howard and stated that he wanted to investigate Howard's claims of race discrimination. Although Bigelow later informed Howard that the investigation found no evidence of discrimination, he provided Howard with no details of the investigation. By e-mail to Bigelow, dated April 26, 2004, Howard requested that he be promoted to a position as Consumer Health Project Engineer. Howard received no response. On May 6, 2004, Howard was informed that he would remain a Production Engineer and would not become a Packaging Supervisor.

RETALIATION

- 46. As a result of Howard's good faith opposition to discriminatory practices and his filing a Charge of Discrimination with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Howard, was, and continues to be, subjected to retaliation.
 - 47. Such incidents of retaliation include, but are not limited to the following:
 - a. On September 30, 2004, Howard was denied the position of Manager in the
 Manufacturing Support department.

- b. On or about June 24, 2005, Howard was placed on a Performance Improvement Program ("PIP"). His placement on PIP will prevent Howard from bidding on future positions for one year.
- c. On or about July 9, 2005, Howard received a negative mid-year review while his similarly situated counterparts outside his protected classes were not reviewed.

CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST COPORATE DEFENDANT (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq.; 42 U.S.C. § 1981; and New York State Executive Law, Human Rights Law, § 290, et seq.)

- 48. Each allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 47, inclusive, is hereby repeated, realleged, and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 49. Howard was denied promotion, demoted, and subjected to retaliation on the basis of race, color, and his good faith opposition to discriminatory practices in violation of the Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq.; 42 U.S.C. § 1981; and New York State Executive Law, Human Rights Law, § 290, et seq.
- 50. As a result of Defendant's actions, Howard suffered, and continues to suffer, injuries and damages.

CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS (New York State Executive Law, Human Rights Law, § 290, et seq.)

- 51. Each allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 50, inclusive, is hereby repeated, realleged, and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 52. Howard was denied promotion, demoted, and subjected to retaliation on the basis of race and his good faith opposition to discriminatory practices in violation of New York State Executive Law, Human Rights Law, § 290, et seq.